



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,759	10/06/2006	Fabrice Pourtier	713-1265	3817
33712	7590	03/08/2011	EXAMINER	
LOWE, HAUPTMAN, HAM & BERNER, LLP (ITW)		OMGBA, ESSAMA		
1700 DIAGONAL ROAD		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 300		3726		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				
		MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE
		03/08/2011		PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/599,759	POURTIER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Essama Omgbga	3726	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 December 2010.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 19-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 25-30 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 19-21,23 and 24 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 22 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____. | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 19-21, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fisher (US Patent 4,904,134) in view of Haug et al. (US 2004/0096288) and Ploch et al. (US Patent 3,523,482).

With regards to claims 19 and 24, Fisher discloses a self-expanding bolt comprising a dowel 3 and a counter-dowel 1 screwed together by means of their screwing ends (col. 2, lines 46-50), the dowel including at its fastening end a fastening head 19 configured to be driven in rotation (col. 2, lines 59-61), a first screwing end opposite to the fastening end (fig. 3), a ring 16 connected to the first screwing end and having expansion lugs (fig. 3), the counter-dowel including an expansion core 2, a second screwing end on one of opposite sides of the expansion core and threadably engageable with the first screwing end for screwing the counter-dowel to the dowel (fig. 3), and an anti-rotation head 11 on the other side of the expansion core (col. 2, lines 66-68 and col. 3, line 1). Although Fisher does not disclose the expansion lugs comprising means for forming an undercut, or the anti-rotation head radially projecting beyond a nominal diameter of the dowel to engage a wall of the hole in which the bolt is to be fastened, however it is known to provide means for forming undercuts to such

expansion lugs as attested by Haug et al., see protrusions 10 in figure 1 and paragraph [0010]. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have provided the lugs of the bolt of Fisher with means for forming undercuts, in light of the teachings of Haug et al., in order to avoid forming separate undercuts in holes, thus simplifying the anchoring process. Further Ploch et al. teaches an anti-rotation head 14 on a side of an expansion core 22, the anti-rotation head projecting beyond a nominal diameter of a dowel to engage a wall of a hole in which a bolt is to be fastened to prevent rotation of a counter-dowel about an axis of the bolt, see column 2, lines 43-46 and figures 1-3. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to have substituted the anti-rotation head of Fisher/Haug et al. with the anti-rotation head taught by Ploch et al., in order to more effectively prevent rotation of the counter-dowel about an axis of the bolt.

Regarding claims 20, 21 and 23, see figures 2 and 3 of Fisher.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 22 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
4. Claims 25-30 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 19-21, 23 and 24 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Essama Omgbia whose telephone number is (571) 272-4532. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6:30, 1st Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bryant can be reached on (571) 272-4526. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Essama Omgbा/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3726

eo
March 7, 2011